

EXAMINER'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY ATTACHMENT

See attached fax from Applicants. The examiner stated the proposed amendments would overcome the rejections of record. The examiner clarified that the rejection of claims 3 and 7 was under Sec. 102 and that 4 – 6 and 8 – 27 was intended to be under Sec 103(a). The examiner regrets the confusion. The examiner proposed that applicant do the following: Cancel 1 – 2, 11 – 27. Amend 3 and 7 as proposed by applicants. Delete “industrial scale” from clam 3 and 7. Optionally, add a claim or two directly or indirectly dependent on 3 and/or 7 stating that the process of claim x “is an industrial scale process,” provided that no claim is dependent on such claim/s. Review and edit dependent claims 4 - 6, 8 - 10 for proper antecedent basis and spelling, etc. (e.g., claim 4 “greater”). Delete “of appropriate porosity” from claim 6. In claim 8, recite a process comprising obtaining water from a raw water source, treated said water by the process of claim 3 or 7 thereby rendering said water potable, distributing said potable water, and consuming said distributed water. Claims 3 - 10 would be allowed. As appropriate, Applicants may consider making certain of claims 11 – 27 dep. on claims 3 or 7.

/Chester T. Barry/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797
571-272-1152